Theoretical Analysis of Mechanical Efficiency in Vane Pump
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This paper describes the theoretical analysis on the mechanical efficiency of a hydraulic balanced vane pump and presents a design concept to decide values such as vane thickness and cam lift to improve its mechanical efficiency. The friction torque characteristics of the balanced vane pump, especially the friction torque caused by the friction between a cam contour and vane tips are both experimentally and theoretically investigated. Although the friction force increases with vane thickness increase due to increase in the vane force, the mechanical efficiency of the vane pump does not decrease when the cam lift becomes large as the vane thickness is increased. An important parameter $\varepsilon$ defined as the ratio to be obtained by dividing the cam lift by the vane thickness determines essentially the mechanical efficiency of the vane pump. The pumps with the same $\varepsilon$ have the same mechanical efficiencies, even if the cam lift or the vane thickness varies. A larger value of $\varepsilon$ leads the vane pump to obtain a higher mechanical efficiency. Additionally reducing the friction coefficient at the vane tip contributes to an improvement of the mechanical efficiency.
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1. Introduction

A balanced vane pump, now widely used in many hydraulic power systems because of its compactness, lightweight and low cost, is especially suitable for a hydraulic power source in a power steering system of a vehicle, in which low pressure pulsation and low noise are required. When designing a hydraulic pump including the vane pump, the mechanical efficiency as well as the volumetric efficiency constitutes a key factor in evaluating the pump performance.

Friction torque characteristics of various pumps and motors have already been studied and mathematical models of the friction torque have been proposed$^{[1]-[4]}$. Authors have also proposed a new mathematical model of the friction torque characteristics for the vane pump because the previously proposed models were not suitable for the vane pump$^{[5]}$. These mathematical models were constructed conceptually or only to fit measured results in the actual pump torque, but not theoretically. And the relation between the dimensions of the pump parts and its friction torque in the vane pump has yet to be clarified, and it is generally held that the friction torque in the vane pump has been insufficiently analysed.

Therefore, the friction torque characteristics, particularly the friction torque caused by the friction between the cam contour and the vane tip were experimentally investigated and theoretically analysed$^{[6]}$. This paper presents the influence of the friction between the cam contour and the vane tip on the mechanical efficiency and the design concept on the relationship between the cam lift and the vane thickness for the vane pump with high mechanical efficiency.

2. Nomenclature

- $b$: Width of cam ring, rotor and vane
- $F_w$: Pushing force of vane
- $\kappa$: Coefficient for $T_s$ defined by equation (6)
- $N$: Pump speed
- $p_d$: Delivery pressure
- $p_s$: Suction pressure
- $\Delta p$: Pressure difference between delivery- and suction-pressure ($p_d - p_s$)
- $R_1$: Small radius of cam ring
- $R_2$: Large radius of cam ring
- $T$: Driving torque of pump
- $T_f$: Friction torque independent of $\Delta p$
- $T_a$: Friction torque of vanes
- $T_{th}$: Ideal torque of pump
- $\Delta T$: Total friction torque ($= T - T_{th}$)
- $V_{th}$: Theoretical displacement of pump
- $w$: Vane thickness
- $z$: Number of vanes
- $\varepsilon$: Parameter defined as $(R_2 - R_1)/w$
- $\eta_{th}$: Mechanical efficiency ($= T_{th}/T$)
- $\eta_u$: Ultimate value of $\eta_{th}$ defined by equation (12)
- $\lambda$: Coefficient of friction at vane top
3. Structure and Friction Torque of Vane Pump

3.1 Structure of Vane Pump

Figure 1 shows a cross-sectional view of a balanced vane pump, composed of a cam ring with an elliptic inner bore, a rotor with a series of radially disposed vanes, two side plates located at both sides of the rotor and a shaft. The pump is so designed that both suction and delivery ports in the pump are diametrically opposed to provide complete balance of all internal radial forces. In this pump, the side plates have vane back pressure grooves at the sides facing the rotor to introduce the delivery pump pressure to all the bottoms of the vane slot of the rotor. During the pump operation, the vane is always pushed from the bottom by the delivery pressure and rotates with the loads imposed by the vane tip on the cam contour. The rotor is driven by a shaft through a very loose-fitting spline and the rotor and vanes rotate between two side plates with running clearance.

![Figure 1 Cross-sectional view of vane pump](image1)

Figure 2 shows notation of vane pump chamber used in this paper. The vane tip has roundness of radius \( R_v \).

Figure 2 illustrates two pump chambers, partitioned by two vanes, in which small radius part (\( \theta = 0 \)) and large radius part (\( \theta = \pi / 2 \)) are located. The pump chamber (cross-hatched area) expands and oil is introduced into the chamber with pump rotation from \( \theta = 0 \) to \( \pi / 2 \). And the pump rotation from \( \pi / 2 \) to \( \pi \) causes the oil to be discharged from the pump chamber. The balanced vane pump will allow one more displacement process to take place between \( \pi \) and \( 2\pi \), having a double displacement process, with two cycles of suction/discharge per revolution. Calculating the difference between the volume of the pump chamber at large radius part and that at small radius part and considering that the number of pump chambers is \( z \), the pump displacement per revolution \( V_{in} \) is given by the following equation:

\[
V_{in} = 2zb\left( \frac{\pi}{2} (R_z^2 - R_2^2) - w(R_2 - R_1) \right)
\]

(1)

where \( z \) is the number of vanes, \( b \) is the width of the cam ring and the rotor, \( R_1 \) is the small radius of the cam ring, \( R_2 \) is the large radius of the cam ring and \( w \) is the vane thickness.

![Figure 2 Notation of vane pump chamber](image2)

Table 1 shows specifications of the test pumps including the cam lift and the vane thickness. Throughout the tests, each pump tested was assembled by new pump parts. The cam rings were made of ferro-sintered alloy and the inner surfaces of the cam rings were ground with roughness of 1-2 \( \mu m \) Rz. The vanes were finished by the barrel polishing and the roughness of the vane tip was about 0.3 \( \mu m \) Rz. The expression of roughness of Rz, average peak-to-valley heights, is the average value of the individual peak-to-valley heights in five continuous individual measurement sections. The hydraulic fluid used was commercial mineral oil and its density \( \rho \) and viscosity \( \mu \) at 40\(^\circ\)C are 855 kg/m\(^3\) and 0.0293 Pa-s, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Specifications of vane pumps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cam ring large radius ( R_z ) mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cam lift ( R_2 - R_1 ) mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vane thickness ( w ) mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vane tip radius ( R_v ) mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump displacement ( V_{in} ) cm(^3)/rev.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon = (R_2 - R_1)/w )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Experimental Results of Friction Torque Characteristics

The ideal pump driving torque \( T_{th} \) without energy loss in the pump is derived from the condition that the power required to drive the pump (the input) is equal to the hydraulic power (the output), as defined by the following equation:

\[
T_{th} = \frac{V_{th}}{2\pi} \Delta p
\]  

(2)

where \( \Delta p \) is the difference between the delivery pressure and the suction pressure.

Adding the friction torque in the vane pump \( \Delta T \) to the ideal torque, the actual pump driving torque \( T \) is expressed by the following equation:

\[
T = T_{th} + \Delta T
\]  

(3)

From equations (2) and (3), the following equation can be obtained:

\[
\Delta T = T - \frac{V_{th}}{2\pi} \Delta p
\]  

(4)

First, the values of \( T \) against \( \Delta p \) for each test pump were experimentally measured, and \( \Delta T \) was calculated by using equation (4). In the experiment, \( T \) was measured with a torque meter and \( \Delta p \) was calculated by subtracting the suction pressure, \( p_s \), measured at the pump inlet from the delivery pressure, \( p_d \), measured at the pump outlet.

Three pumps (B-1, B-2 and B-3) with the cam ring of \( R_2 - R_1 = 3 \) mm were used to investigate how three kinds of vane thickness affected the relationships between \( \Delta T \) and \( \Delta p \) at \( N = 2000 \text{ min}^{-1} \) and oil temperature of 40°C.

**Figure 3** shows the result of this investigation. \( \Delta T \) increases linearly with an increase in \( \Delta p \) and the slope is greater with an increase in vane thickness \( w \). It is worthwhile to notice that all of the lines concentrate on one point at \( \Delta p = 0 \); namely, Y-intercept for all lines is identical. Drawing a line from this point as shown in the figure, \( \Delta T \) would be divided into \( T_o \), a constant term independent of \( \Delta p \), and \( T_n \), a term increasing linearly with an increase in \( \Delta p \). Then, \( \Delta T \) can be expressed by the following equation:

\[
\Delta T = T_o + T_n
\]  

(5)

The friction torque in the vane pump is considered to be caused by (a) the friction between the shaft and the oil seal, (b) the friction between the shaft and the bearing, (c) the viscous friction between the rotor and the side plates and (d) the friction between the vane tip and the cam contour.

Factors (a) to (c) are considered to be independent of \( \Delta p \). This fact may be justified by the three following reasons.

First, a low pressure at a drain acts on the oil seal for the factor (a). Secondly, from the principle of a balanced vane pump, the force due to delivery pressure acts symmetrically on the rotor for the factor (b). Finally, the viscous friction due to shearing oil is independent of the pressure for the factor (c). In contrast, the friction torque due to the factor (d) increases with an increase in \( \Delta p \) because the force acting on the cam contour by the vane is proportional to \( \Delta p \), and that will be described in **Fig. 6** later. These reasons may prove that \( T_o \) in equation (5) should constitute the friction torque due to factors (a) to (c) and \( T_n \) should be caused by the friction between the vane tip and the cam contour of the factor (d).

**Figure 4** shows the relationship between \( w \) and \( T_n \), which was arranged from the measured data at \( \Delta p = 5.88 \) MPa in **Fig. 3**. This figure shows that the straight line joining the measured data passes through the origin and \( T_n \) is directly proportional to \( w \). From this fact that \( T_n \) is directly proportional to both \( w \) and \( \Delta p \), it is clear that the friction force between the vane tip and the cam contour is directly proportional to the vane force pushing the cam contour and it resembles the property of Coulomb’s friction. As \( T_n \) is directly proportional to \( w \) and \( \Delta p \), \( T_n \) is expressed by the following equation:

\[
T_n = k w \Delta p
\]  

(6)
To investigate the dependence of $\kappa$ on the pump speed $N$, the characteristics of $\Delta T$ against $\Delta p$ for three kinds of vane thickness were measured at various pump speeds, and Fig. 5 shows the measured result of $\kappa$. As seen from this figure, $\kappa$ decreases slightly with an increase in $N$. This fact suggests that the coefficient of friction between the vane tip and the cam contour reduces slightly with an increase in $N$ and the distinctive difference in the vane thickness does not appear.

4. Friction Torque at Vane Tip

4.1 Theoretical Analysis on Friction Torque at Vane Tip

The upper part in Fig. 6 illustrates the pressure acting on the bottom and the tip of the vane (e.g. vane No. 1 in Fig. 2) and the vane force $F_v$ caused by the pressure difference in the small radius region ($0<\theta<\theta_s$), suction ($\theta_s<\theta<\theta_d$), large radius ($\theta_d<\theta<\theta_i$), and delivery ($\theta_i<\theta<\pi$). The delivery pressure $p_d$ constantly acts on the vane bottom, and $p_i$ acts as much as half the thickness of the vane acts on the vane tip at the small and large radius parts. Further, at the suction part, the suction pressure $p_s$ acts on the entire vane tip. On the other side, at the delivery part, $p_d$ acts also on the entire vane tip, balancing the radial force on the vane.

With the change of $F_v$, taking place instantly at the starting point of each process, the changing pattern of $F_v$ during one displacement process from $\theta=0$ to $\pi$ is illustrated in Fig. 6. In the case of this test pump, because the angle of suction part, $\theta_s-\theta_i$, is equal to that of delivery part, $\theta_i-\theta_d$, the average of $F_v$ becomes $w/b\Delta p/2$. With the coefficient of friction between the vane tip and the cam contour regarded as $\lambda$, the friction force of the vane $F_i$ is given as follows:

$$F_i = \frac{1}{2} \lambda wb \Delta p$$  \(7\)

Seeking for a friction torque against a piece of vane by multiplying $F_i$ by the average cam radius $(R_s+R_i)/2$ and considering that the number of vanes is $z$, the friction torque due to the friction between the vane tip and the cam contour $T_s$ can be obtained by the following equation:

$$T_s = \frac{1}{4} z \lambda wb (R_s + R_i) \Delta p$$  \(8\)

4.2 Measurement of the Coefficient of Friction at Vane Tip

The coefficient of friction between the vane tip and the cam contour was experimentally measured by using a ring. The inner surface of the ring was ground with the same roughness as that of the cam rings for the test pump. The inner radius of the ring is the same as the small radius of cam ring. In this test, three kinds of vane thickness were used to investigate the influence of the vane thickness on the coefficient of friction. Figure 7 shows the specifications of the test parts.

![Figure 6: Vane force during one displacement process](image)

**Figure 6** Vane force during one displacement process

**Figure 7** Specifications of test ring and vanes
**Figure 8** shows a schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus and hydraulic circuit of the test for measuring the coefficient of friction. The apparatus is an actual pump equipped with a ring instead of a cam ring and the side plates without ports connected with pump delivery. Oil delivered from a feed pump was fed to the vane back pressure groove of the side plate in the test apparatus. The vanes in the test apparatus were lifted to touch their tips to the inner surface of the ring by the pressure regulated by a relief valve. All the vane tips ran on the inner surface of the ring under a pressure as low as zero. The shaft of the rotor in the test apparatus was driven by an electric motor via a torque meter.

Without the cam lift, the apparatus did not work as a pump to deliver oil, namely \( T_{in} \) expressed by equation (2) is zero. Therefore, the driving torque of this apparatus constitutes the total friction torque of the shaft, rotor and vanes. As described in **Fig. 3**, the friction torque of ten vanes, \( T_{fr} \), could be measured by subtracting the friction torque of the shaft and rotor (corresponding to Y-intercept in **Fig. 3**) from the driving torque. The coefficient of friction at the vane tip \( \lambda \) could be calculated by the following equation:

\[
\lambda = T_{fr}/(zbwR_i \Delta p)
\]  

(9)

where \( z \) is the vane number, \( b \) the rotor width, \( w \) the vane thickness, \( R_i \) the inner radius of the ring, and \( \Delta p \) the pressure difference between the inlet and outlet of the apparatus.

**Figure 9** shows the relationship between a non-dimensional parameter \( S \) and the coefficient of friction between the vane tip and the ring inner surface \( \lambda \), in which \( S \) is defined as the ratio to be obtained by dividing the product of the viscosity of oil \( \mu \) and the sliding speed of vane \( v \) by the vane pushing force a unit length \( F/b \). In this figure, the values of \( \lambda \) only at \( \Delta p=5.88 \text{ MPa} \) for three kinds of \( w \) at various pump speeds \( N \) are plotted, and they seem to change along a curve. However, all of \( \lambda \) would not be on the curve for various combinations of \( \Delta p \) and \( N \), even if the oil temperature is constant. Also, the non-dimensional parameter \( S \) is impractical for the analysis in this study. Then, the change in \( \lambda \) against the rotational speed of the rotor was investigated.

**Figure 10** shows the relationship between \( N \) and \( \lambda \) for the vanes with three kinds of vane thickness. As seen from this figure, \( \lambda \) depends on the pump speed \( N \) and decreases gradually with an increase in \( N \), namely the sliding speed of the vane tip. In this test, using the ring with the inner surface of 1-2 \( \mu \text{m} \) Rz roughness, \( \lambda \) ranges from 0.12 to 0.08 in the rotor speed region of 250-3 000 min\(^{-1}\). The influence of vane thickness is slight and the relationship between \( N \) and \( \lambda \) could be indicated with a curve independently of \( w \).

**Figure 11** shows the comparison between \( T_n \) obtained by the experiment and \( T_n \) calculated from equation (8). The calculation is performed by using \( \lambda=0.095 \) corresponding to the value at \( N=2 000 \text{ min}^{-1} \) in **Fig. 10**. The value of \( T_n \) in the experiment almost coincides with the calculated one.
5. Influence of Friction at Vane Tip on Mechanical Efficiency

5.1 Theoretical Analysis on Mechanical Efficiency in Vane Pump

The mechanical efficiency \( \eta_m \) is defined as follows:

\[
\eta_m = \frac{T_{ih}}{T}
\]  

The values of \( \eta_m \) are calculated by using the measured pump driving torque \( T \) and the ideal torque \( T_{ih} \) calculated from equation (2). As a sample, Fig. 12 shows the relationship between \( \Delta p \) and \( \eta_m \) for the pump with \( w=1.4\text{mm} \) and cam lift \((R_2-R_1)=3\text{mm}\) at \( N=2\,000\text{ min}^{-1} \). In this figure, \( \eta_m \) increases with an increase in \( \Delta p \) and then it would approximate to the value of \( \eta_{m0} \).

![Fig. 12 Relationship between \( \Delta p \) and \( \eta_m \)](image)

Further, by substituting equations (3) and (5) for equation (10), the following equation can be obtained:

\[
\eta_m = \frac{T_{ih}}{T_{ih} + T_0 + T_n}
\]

Then, \( \eta_{m0} \) in the following equation introduces an ultimate value of \( \eta_m \).

\[
\eta_{m0} = \lim_{\Delta p \to \infty} \eta_m = \lim_{\Delta p \to \infty} \frac{T_{ih}}{T_{ih} + T_0 + T_n}
\]

Because both \( T_{ih} \) and \( T_n \) are proportional to \( \Delta p \) and \( T_0 \) is independent of \( \Delta p \), the ultimate value expressed as equation (3) results in the following equation:

\[
\eta_{m0} = \frac{T_{ih}}{T_{ih} + T_0}
\]

An asymptotic value of \( \Delta p-\eta_m \) curve is \( \eta_{m0} \) and \( \eta_m \) becomes \( \eta_{m0} \) when \( \Delta p \) is extremely high. As seen from equations (11) and (33), the friction of the vane tip causes the mechanical efficiency of the vane pump to reduce from 1 to \( \eta_{m0} \), and then the friction torque \( T_n \) at the rotor sides, the shaft and the oil seal causes the mechanical efficiency to reduce from \( \eta_{m0} \) to \( \eta_m \).

Substituting equation (8) for equation (33), \( \eta_{m0} \) becomes:

\[
\eta_{m0} = \frac{T_{ih}}{T_{ih} + (1/4)\lambda\omega w b (R_2 - R_1) \Delta p}
\]

Substituting equation (1) for \( T_{ih} \) in equation (2) and substituting the result for equation (44), the following equation can be obtained:

\[
\eta_m = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda\omega w}{4(R_2 - R_1)\left(1 - \frac{zw}{\pi(R_2 + R_1)}\right)}}
\]

In the case of the test pumps, because \( zw/(\pi(R_2+R_1)) \) is much smaller than 1, this term can be eliminated. As a result, equation (55) can be expressed with a good accuracy of approximation by the following equation:

\[
\eta_m = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda\omega}{(4\epsilon)}}
\]

where \( \epsilon = \frac{R_2 - R_1}{w} \)

Equation (56) suggests that the pumps with the same \( \epsilon \) will have the same \( \eta_{m0} \) even if the pump dimensions such as cam lift and vane thickness are changed, and the larger value of \( \epsilon \) as well as the reduction of \( \lambda \) and \( z \) may increase \( \eta_{m0} \). In addition, equation (56) means that \( \eta_{m0} \) is independent of \( \Delta p \).

Figure 13 shows the relationships between \( \epsilon \) and \( \eta_{m0} \) for \( z=10 \), which is calculated from equation (56) for various \( \lambda \). As seen from this figure, \( \eta_{m0} \) depends greatly on \( \epsilon \) as well as \( \lambda \) and increases sharply with an increase in \( \epsilon \), when \( \epsilon \) is less than 1. However, \( \epsilon \) larger than 3 may not increase \( \eta_{m0} \) substantially, resulting in less contribution to improve \( \eta_{m0} \). To achieve more 90 per cent of \( \eta_{m0} \), \( \lambda \) should be reduced to about 0.1. Thus, reducing \( \lambda \) to less than 0.05 could bring the \( \eta_{m0} \) close to 95 per cent.

![Fig. 13 Relationships between \( \epsilon \) and \( \eta_{m0} \)](image)
Figure 14 shows the relationship between $\lambda$ and $\eta_{uc}$ for various values of $\varepsilon$. This figure shows that $\eta_{uc}$ is also affected greatly by $\lambda$.

![Figure 14](image)

Fig. 14 Relationships between $\lambda$ and $\eta_{uc}$

5.2 Comparison of $\eta_{uc}$ between Experiment and Calculation

For all the pumps shown in Table 1, the experimental values of $\eta_{uc}$ were investigated. Table 2 shows $\eta_{uc}$ at four pump speeds and the values of $\varepsilon$ for each test pump. The values of $\eta_{uc}$ in Table 2 are the average of $\eta_{uc}$, ranging from 1 to 5 MPa of $\Delta p$. Equation (6) suggests that $\eta_{uc}$ is independent of $\Delta p$, and the fact has already been revealed experimentally.

Figure 15 shows the comparison of the experimental results of $\eta_{uc}$ given in Table 2 with the calculated ones. The values of $\lambda$ used for the calculation were 0.125 and 0.1. Figure 15 shows that a pump with a larger $\varepsilon$ produces a higher $\eta_{uc}$ and pump with the same $\varepsilon$ results in almost the same $\eta_{uc}$ despite variation of the vane thickness and the cam lift. Strictly speaking, the experimental points are plotted almost along the curve of the calculated values for $\lambda=0.125$ at $N=1\,000$ min$^{-1}$ and close to that for $\lambda=0.1$ at $N=3\,000$ min$^{-1}$. It may be verified that the decrease of $\lambda$ improves $\eta_{uc}$ at a higher $N$.

![Table 2](image)

Table 2 Estimated $\eta_{uc}$ from experimental data

The Author has revealed that the friction between the cam contour and the vane tip is reduced by reducing the surface roughness of the cam contour$^{9}$. Then, by using the vane pumps with the specifications of the cam ring and the vane indicated as B-2 having $\varepsilon$ of 2.14, $\eta_{uc}$ was experimentally investigated with changing the surface roughness of the cam contour and the coefficient of friction at the vane tip. Figure 16 shows the results, and the relationships between $\lambda$ and $\eta_{uc}$ obtained from the experiment agree well with the curve calculated from equation (6). As the pump speed $N$ becomes higher, $\lambda$ becomes lower and $\eta_{uc}$ increases.

![Figure 15](image)

Fig. 15 Relationships between $\varepsilon$ and $\eta_{uc}$ (Experimental results)
6. Conclusions

In this study, the friction torque characteristics of the vane pump, especially the friction torque characteristic between the vane tip and the cam contour and its influence on the mechanical efficiency were experimentally and theoretically investigated. As a result, the following conclusions are obtained.

The friction force of the vane tip against the cam contour is proportional to the vane thickness and also to the pressure difference between pump outlet and inlet. In other words, the friction force is proportional to the vane force, which is proportional to the vane thickness and the pressure difference. This behavior is similar to Coulomb's law. In addition, the coefficient of friction decreases as the sliding speed of the vane is increased.

Although the load at the contact point of the vane tip on the surface of the cam contour increases with an increase in vane thickness, enlarging the cam lift in proportion to the vane thickness can prevent the mechanical efficiency from going down. The pumps produce the same mechanical efficiency when the parameter $\epsilon$ defined as the ratio calculated by dividing the cam lift by the vane thickness remains the same, even if the cam lift or the vane thickness is varied. The mechanical efficiency becomes higher with an increase in $\epsilon$.

To design the vane pump with high mechanical efficiency, it is necessary to reduce the coefficient of friction on the sliding surface as well as to adopt a larger value of $\epsilon$.
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